

22. Roffman RA: Using marijuana in the reduction of nausea associated with chemotherapy. Seattle, 1979, Murray Publishing Co., Inc., p. 31.

23. Pink JN: Hypersensitivity pneumonitis, in Middleton E Jr, Reed CE, Ellis EF, editors: Allergy: principles and practice. St. Louis, 1978, The C. V. Mosby Co., pp. 855-87.

24. Yocum MW, Saltzman AR, Strong DM et al: Extrinsic allergic alveolitis after Aspergillus fumigatus inhalation. *Am J Med* 61:939, 1976.

25. Prystowsky SD, Vogelstein B, Ettinger DS et al: Invasive aspergillosis. *N Engl J Med* 295:655, 1976.

26. Krick JA, Remington IS: Opportunistic invasive fungal infections in patients with leukemia and lymphoma. *Clin Haematol* 5:249, 1976.

27. Mahoney DH, Steuber CP, Starling KA et al: An outbreak of aspergillosis in children with acute leukemia. *J Pediatr* 95:70, 1979.

28. Lehrer RI, Howard DH, Sypherd PS, Edwards JE, Segal GP, Winston DJ: Mucormycosis. *Ann Intern Med* 93:93, 1980.

29. Chmelik R, Flaherty DK, Reed CE: Precipitating antibodies in office workers and hospitalized patients directed toward antigens causing hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 111:201, 1975.

30. Goldstein RA: Cellular immune responses in aspergillosis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 61:229, 1978.

31. Fraser DW: Aspergillosis and other systemic mycoses: the growing problem. *JAMA* 242:1631, 1979.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TONKO). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. POE of Texas addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

THE NIGHTMARE ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROHRABACHER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. ROHRABACHER. Mr. Speaker, tonight this Congress passed the so-called DREAM Act. Several of us on the floor of the House said that this act would be more accurately referred to as the "affirmative action amnesty act."

The bill is a piece of legislation that the American people should pay close attention to, and they should see whether or not their Representatives

in Congress are, indeed, representing their interests or if they are involved in supporting the interests of the people who are not citizens of this country and who have come here illegally.

□ 2120

Now in this case, this bill would not grant amnesty to all illegal immigrants, but instead, the reason it's called the DREAM Act is because it would legalize the status of several million illegals who are young people in our country. Well, what does several million new citizens—or should we say legal residents—of our country mean to the well-being of the American people? Yes, we understand that several million young illegals now made legal in their status would certainly be their dream, but what does it do to other Americans? What is the effect? Is it a dream or a nightmare? The American people need to look and see who voted for what and who is representing whose interests here.

I want to note that illegal immigration is probably one of the greatest threats to the well-being of my constituents, and they understand that. And I would think that people throughout our country understand that the quality of our education is going down, the quality of America's health care is going down, our personal security—meaning the security of our neighborhoods and our families—is going down as the criminal justice system is put under incredible strains by this massive flow of illegals into our country.

By legalizing the status of 2 million younger illegal immigrants, what we are doing is making sure that those people who are considering coming to our country illegally will certainly bring their children—all of them—with them, realizing that the chances are that if the American people see that someone's here illegally and is a young person, we now have set the precedent that we will legalize their status sometime in the future.

What we are really talking about is encouraging a massive flow of illegals into our country bringing their children with them. And what will that do to the education system of our country? What will that do to the health care requirements that people now are finding that their own health care facilities are overcrowded and that the budgets for providing health care to the less fortunate are being strained to the breaking point throughout the country?

This bill was done at the expense of the American people. The young people who they are helping, the young people who supposedly would be assisted in getting a college education if they go to school, they're going to have their status legalized. Yes, those people may be helped, but it is being done directly at the expense of the American people.

This is about as bad as it gets when we have Members of Congress that, instead of considering what this will do, what their actions will do in harm to

their own constituents, have decided just to, yes, side with those people—who are wonderful people overseas. There is no doubt about most of the young people we are talking about, and most of the illegal immigrants coming into our country are wonderful people, but their well-being—we are not being selfish by suggesting that at a time of unemployment, a time when the budgets for all of our own programs are being strained to the breaking point, that we have to take care of our own people before we encourage other people to come here illegally.

I am proud that our country has a very liberal and open policy for immigration. We allow more legal immigrants into our country than any other country of the world. In fact, all of the other countries of the world combined do not permit the legal immigration into their societies as we permit into America. But if we don't watch out for our people, if we do not carefully look at this issue and try to say what is good for our people, our people will be severely damaged, and that will be the product of the DREAM Act. It will be the Nightmare Act of the American people.

Perhaps the worst element of this is this bill—and I know there are many people who are suggesting that that's not true, but it is true that this bill will provide an affirmative action status for those illegals who have been legalized who happen to come from a minority background. Now, most illegal immigrants who come here are Hispanics or some other minority. Thus, if their status is legalized, all of a sudden all of the laws that give preference to minorities in the United States, all of these preferences are provided to these people who were illegal just a few days ago.

We are not providing equality. What we're providing is that illegals now will take their spot at the head of the line when it comes to job training, when it comes to education and being accepted at universities. In terms of all of these types of programs in which racial preferences have been written into the law, these illegals will now have a status ahead of U.S. citizens. This is about as bad as it gets.

This Congress is supposed to represent the interests of the American people. In this case, the interests of the American people were betrayed with a misplaced value system being focused on the plight of, yes, some very deserving young people—several million of them—who are here illegally. I would hope that the American people take a look closely at this vote and realize what it signifies.

There are many people struggling right now in our country. Our social programs are strained to the breaking point. And yes, what happens when you legalize the status of several million young people and you make sure that these young people, many of whom are of a minority status, that they then receive the preferences written into our